



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/560,006	04/27/2000	John Raymond Nicol	GTM-01602	2711

32127 7590 08/13/2004

VERIZON CORPORATE SERVICES GROUP INC.  
C/O CHRISTIAN R. ANDERSEN  
600 HIDDEN RIDGE DRIVE  
MAILCODE HQEO3H14  
IRVING, TX 75038

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, MAIKHANH

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2176

DATE MAILED: 08/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/560,006	<b>Applicant(s)</b> NICOL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Maikhanh Nguyen	<b>Art Unit</b> 2176	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 May 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4-28,30-49 and 51-54 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4-28,30-49 and 51-54 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)             | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)    | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to communications: Amendment filed 05/05/2004 to the original application filed 04/27/2000.
2. Claims 1-2, 4-28, 30-49, and 51-54 are currently pending in this application. Claims 3, 29, and 50 have been cancelled and claims 1, 17, 25, 27, 43, 51 and 53 have been amended by Applicant. Claims 1, 17, 25, 27, 43, 51 and 53 are independent claims.

### **Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103**

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 4-10, 13-28, 30-36, 39-49 and 51-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Contois** (U.S. 5,864,868 – filed 02/1996) in view of **Arons et al.** (U.S. 6,529,920 – filed 03/05/1999) and further in view of **Kunieda et al.** (U.S. 6,177,875 – filed 09/1999).

**As to independent claim 25**, Contois teaches a method executed in a computer system (*a computer interface; col.4, lines 37-61*) for selecting a multimedia presentation (*providing a user access to media pieces stored in a media database ... display only music that relates to a selected category; col.4, lines 37-61*) comprising:

- providing a plurality of multimedia presentations in accordance with predetermined criteria (*Fig.8 and associated text*);

Art Unit: 2176

- presenting the one or more multimedia data items using a browser to select a multimedia presentation, the one or more multimedia items being presented separately from the plurality of multimedia presentations (*e.g., once a song title is selected to be played upon the player piano, the graphic window will display a picture of something associated with the selected piece of music ...view a complete list of all of the movie categories ... allow the user to find a movie video for viewing; col. 12, lines 13-67*);
- controlling direction of the presenting of the one or more multimedia data items viewed (*e.g., four media playing device control buttons ...play button ...rewind button ...pause button ...stop button; col.10, line 66 – col.11, line 29*); and
- selecting a first of the one or more multimedia data items associated with the multimedia presentation (*e.g., highlights the selected item on the display; col.10, lines 7-20 / selecting either single or multiple items; col.12, lines 13-67*).

Contois, however, does not explicitly teach “controlling speed.”

Arons teaches controlling speed (*e.g., speed control...the speed of playback can be increased or decreased interactively with the playback speed control while playing or when playback is stopped. The speed can be increased up to at least 2.5 times the original and slowed down to at least 0.5 times the original; col.14, lines 1-40*).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Arons with Contois because it would have provided the capability for users to obtain the desired speed of the multimedia items when they are viewing them.

The combination of Contois and Arons does teach “providing one or more multimedia data items (*e.g., a selection of an item is made ...determines which item has*

Art Unit: 2176

*been selected; col.10, lines 7-20), each of the one or more multimedia data items a corresponding one of the subset of multimedia presentations (e.g., a listing of items relating to a category of information found in the data base; col.9, lines 21-29)", but is silent on "the one or more multimedia data items being a duplicate of a portion of a corresponding one of the subset of multimedia presentations."*

Kunieda teaches the one or more multimedia data items being a duplicate of a portion of a corresponding one of the subset of multimedia presentations (*e.g., having the retrieval information to each of the retrieval items are identical; col.31, lines 29-41*).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Kunieda's teachings in the system of Contois as modified by Arons because it would have provided the capability for retrieving a plurality of video index information previously prepared from a plurality of different video information.

**As to dependent claims 26**, Contois teaches transferring control to machine executable code associated with a first of the subset of multimedia presentations having the first multimedia data item as an index (*col.13, lines 42-50*).

**Independent claim 1**, the rejection of independent claim 25 above is incorporated herein in full. However, claim 1 further recites "transferring control to machine executable code associated with a first of the plurality of multimedia presentations corresponding to the first multimedia data item.

Contois teaches transferring control to machine executable code associated with a first of the subset of multimedia presentations having the first multimedia data item (*e.g.,*

Art Unit: 2176

*the application of the software to control ... software interface could be used ...to select what media to be played from a vast media data base; col.13, lines 42-50).*

**As to dependent claim 2,** Contois teaches presenting the first multimedia presentation by executing the machine executable code (*e.g. the application of the software to control ... software interface could be used ...to select what media to be played from a vast media data base; col.13, lines 42-50).*

**As to dependent claim 4,** Contois teaches the first multimedia presentation is a video for video-on-demand selection (*col.12, lines 38-67).*

**As to dependent claim 5,** Contois teaches the first multimedia presentation is a speaker presentation using a plurality of media streams and a first of the plurality of media streams includes the first multimedia data item (*Fig.6).*

**As to dependent claim 6,** Contois teaches the first multimedia data item is a miniature viewgraph indexing into other media streams that include an audio file, an image file of speaker notes, and a video file of a speaker giving a presentation (*Fig.6).*

**As to dependent claim 7,** Contois teaches presenting a hierarchical description of the information in an outline area; and presenting the one or more multimedia data items in a presentation area, the hierarchical description being synchronized with a first temporal arrangement of the one or more multimedia data items in the presentation area (*Figs 2-4).*

**As to dependent claim 8,** Contois teaches controlling speed and direction of the one or more multimedia data items presented by stacking and unstacking successively presented multimedia data items in the presentation area (*Figs. 2-4).*

Art Unit: 2176

**As to dependent claim 9**, Contois teaches the multimedia data items are presented in the presentation area using a scrolling technique in which successive images are presented along a two-dimensional axis, and the method further includes presented by controlling the direction and speed at which the one or more multimedia data items are presented along the two-dimensional axis (*col.12, lines 23-49*).

**As to dependent claim 10**, Contois teaches the multimedia data items are presented in the presentation area using a three-dimensional presentation technique in which the multimedia data items are presented with a three-dimensional perspective with regard to a display viewpoint at a particular time (*col.12, lines 13-59*).

**As to dependent claim 13**, Contois teaches each of the multimedia presentations includes at least two media streams, a first media stream being used and index into the second media stream, wherein the first and second media streams are different (*Figs. 2-4*).

**As to dependent claim 14**, Contois teaches the second media stream is an audio stream and the first media stream is an image-based medium (*Fig.6*).

**As to dependent claim 15**, Contois teaches each of the first and second media streams are the same (*Fig.6*).

**As to dependent claim 16**, Contois teaches producing a database of indices, each of the indices being a multimedia data item (*col.9, lines 21-51*).

**As to independent claim 17**, the rejection of independent claim 25 above is incorporated herein in full. However, claim 17 further recites:

- providing a multimedia presentation having a first media stream and second media stream;

Art Unit: 2176

- storing information about the one or more multimedia items in a database; and
- invoking a software program for presenting the multimedia presentation

associated with the first multimedia data item.

Contois teaches:

- providing a multimedia presentation having a first media stream and second media stream (*Figs. 2-3*);

- storing information about the one or more indices in a database (*e.g. information that is stored in a database; col.9, lines 7-29*); and

- invoking a software program for presenting the multimedia presentation associated with the first multimedia data item (*e.g. software interface ...media device where a user need to select what media item; col.13, lines 42-50 & Figs. 7-8*).

**As to dependent claim 18**, Contois teaches the software program is a video on demand application, the first multimedia object is a video key frame, and the multimedia presentation includes a video media stream and an audio media stream (*col.13, lines 51-57*).

**As to dependent claim 19**, Contois teaches the first media stream is the video media stream and the second media stream is the audio media stream (*Abstract*).

**As to dependent claim 20**, Contois teaches the multimedia presentation includes a viewgraph stream, a video stream, an audio stream, and a text stream (*col.12, lines 13-67*).

**As to dependent claim 21**, Contois teaches the viewgraph stream is the first media stream having a portion used as an index into the video stream, the audio stream and the text stream (*Fig.6*).

**As to dependent claim 22**, Contois teaches the text stream includes speaker notes, the video stream includes images of a speaker, the audio stream includes voice recordings of the speaker, and the viewgraph stream includes speaker slides (*Fig. 6*).

**As to dependent claim 23**, Contois teaches a portion of the viewgraphs are used to uniquely differentiate portions of a presentation by the speaker, the viewgraphs being used to synchronize and index into the other media streams (*Fig. 6*).

**As to dependent claim 24**, Contois teaches the software program is an electronic commerce shopping application, the first media stream and the second media stream reference a single media stream, the single media stream being an image stream of products for sale (*col. 13, lines 51-57*).

**As to independent claim 27**, it is directed to a computer program product for performing the method of claim 1, and is similarly rejected under the same rationale.

**As to dependent claim 28**, it includes the same limitations as in claim 2, and is similarly rejected under the same rationale.

**As to independent claim 34**, the rejection of independent claim 25 above is incorporated herein in full.

**As to dependent claims 30-36 and 39-42**, they include the same limitations as in claims 4-10 and 13-16, and are similarly rejected under the same rationale.

**As to dependent claims 44-49**, they include the same limitations as in claims 18-23, and are similarly rejected under the same rationale.

**As to independent claim 51**, it is directed to a computer program product for performing the method of claim 25, and is similarly rejected under the same rationale.

Art Unit: 2176

**As to dependent claim 52**, it includes the same limitations as in claim 26, and is similarly rejected under the same rationale.

**As to independent claim 53**, it is directed to a computer program product for performing the method of claim 25, and is similarly rejected under the same rationale.

**As to dependent claim 54**, it includes the same limitations as in claim 26, and is similarly rejected under the same rationale.

### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

4. Claims 11-12 and 37-38 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### ***Response to Arguments***

5. Applicants' arguments filed 05/05/2004 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Conclusion***

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).


Art Unit: 2176

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maikhanh Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-0092. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00am – 5:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph H Feild can be reached on (703) 305-9792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Maikhanh Nguyen  
August 9, 2004



SANJIV SHAH  
PRIMARY EXAMINER